

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/944,009	08/31/2001	Daniel J. Reed	00-1048	9362
759	90 07/28/2003			
Kaardal & Associates, PC			EXAMINER	
Attn: Ivar M. Kaardal Suite 250			WEEKS, GLORIA R	
3500 South Firs Sioux Fall, SD			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3721	
,				
		DATE MAILED: 07/28/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

J.	D'						
Advisory Action		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Λv			
		09/944,009	REED, DANIEL J.	UM			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Gloria R Weeks	3721				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe		•				
There final recondit	REPLY FILED 10 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THI fore, further action by the applicant is required to a ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 ion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeaination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in a special properties and the special point which are the special properties are the special properties.	cation. A proper repich places the application.	oly to a cation in			
	PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) [= ' '	•					
b) L Ex	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data.	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	See MPEP			
have be 37 CFR (b) abov	en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened re, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	ension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2.	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the			
(d)	they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.			
3.🖂	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s): 35 USC 103(a) rejection	าร.				
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5.	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because:	r reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does NC	OT place the			
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		to issues which we	re newly			
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)□ will not be entered or b	y)⊠ will be entered	and an			
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	,	эн эг эррэнээд.				
	Claim(s) allowed: <u>8-17 and 20-25</u> .						
	Claim(s) objected to:						
	Claim(s) rejected: 1,3,4 and 7.						
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8.🖂	The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>04 March</u>	<u>1 2003</u> is a)⊠ approved or b)[disapproved by 1	the Examiner.			
_	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen						
10.🖾	Other:		fron loth				
			Rinaldi I. F Supervisory Pater Group 37	nt Examiner			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01) Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

•

Application No.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 7, 17, 20-23 and 25 stand rejected as cited in the Final Rejection (Paper No. 6). Applicant has argued that Doberne fails to disclose a guide bushing having a substantially uniform channel diameter. Examiner maintains prior argument of Doberne teaching a uniform channel in the guide bushing based on Applicants claim language, which calls for "a length" of the channel of the guide bushing having a uniform diameter. Doberne teaches a guide bushing having two sections of which each section is of a uniform diameter. Therefore, "a length", which could be either section of the guide bushing, is disclosed as having a uniform diameter.